


Differences from Ewing Modal

All of the men in Group 4 are far from the Ewing modal, on the order of genetic distance 20, so it makes little sense to list their differences from the Ewing modal. If a subgroup has more than two individuals, we can calculate a modal for the subgroup and compare its members with that. Here, we have only two men, so we cannot calculate a modal. **PT & RL2** are genetic distance 3 from one another, which is consistent with the relationship shown here.



Fife → **Fife Ch 31**

Note

The subject of Fife Ch 31 is John b1695, who is there taken to be the son of William?, the progenitor of Group 2a. Though it is plain that **PT & RL2** are related to one another, their Y-DNA is nothing like that of the other men in Group 2a, so there must be a mistake somewhere along the line. To read more about this see page 42 of the Ewing Surname Y-DNA Project Article 12 ((J. Clan Ewing, Vol. 13, No. 4, November 2007). [Give ref to Jim's argument?]

Note: WD is also in this kindred, but I do not have his conventional genealogy, yet.